Facility name: Findett Corporation
Location: St. Charles, Missouri
EPA Region: Region VII
Person(s) in charge of the facility: Milton Tegethoff, President
Name of Reviewer: Diana J. Bailey Date: 5/15/84  General description of the facility:
(For example: landfill, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)
Findett Corporation recycled PCB fluids until prohibited by
regulatory changes. Contaminated soil from the qunch pond itself,
has been removed by excavation, drumming and approved disposal.
•
<b>38.2/</b> Second Sec. = 28.265.625. = 7.075.=0)
Scores: $S_{M} = 38.2(S_{gw} = 5.62 S_{sw} = 7.97 S_{a} = 0$ ) $S_{FE} = 0.0$
S <sub>DC</sub> = 12.5

FIGURE 1 HRS COVER SHEET

0795



1.0

 $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathfrak{t}}$ 

owo

			Ground Water Route Work Shee	it			
	Rating Factor		Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
0	Observed Release		0 (45)	1	45	45	3.1
			n a score of 45, proceed to line 4	•			,
<u> </u>	If observed release	s is give	n a score of 0, proceed to line 2.				
2	Route Characterist Depth to Aquifer Concern		0 1 2 3	2		6	3.2
	Net Precipitation		0 1 2 3	1		3	
	Permeability of the Unsaturated Zor		0 1 2 3	1		3	•
	Physical State		0 1 2 3	1		3	
			Total Route Characteristics Score			15	·
3	Containment		0 1 2 3	1		3	3.3
4	Waste Characterist Toxicity/Persiste Hazardous Waste Quantity	nce	0 3 6 9 12 15 (18) 0 (1) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1	18 1	1 <b>8</b> 6	3.4
			Total Waste Characteristics Score		19	26	•
5	Targets Ground Water Us Distance to Near Well/Population Served	est	0 1 2 3 0 4 6 8 10 12 16 18 20 24 30 32 35 40	3	9 35	9 40	3.5
-			Total Targets Score		44	49	
6	<del></del>	multiply ultiply	1 x 4 x 5 37620 2 x 3 x 4 x 5			57,330	
7	Divide line 6 by	<b>57.3</b> 30	and multiply by 100	s <sub>gw</sub> -	65,	62	

FIGURE 2
GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

AP 122/84

	Surface Water Route Work Sheet	<u> </u>			
Rating Fector	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
Observed Release	0 (45)	1	45	45	4.1
1	given a value of 45, proceed to line 4.				
	given a value of 0, proceed to line 2.				,
Route Characteristics	400/00ing 0 4 3 3			•	4.2
Facility Slope and In Terrain		1		3	
1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfall Distance to Nearest	0 1 2 3 Surface 0 1 2 3	1 2		3 6	
Water Physical State	0 1 2 3	1		3	
	Total Paula Championistics Sacra				_
<u> </u>	Total Route Characteristics Score			15	· · · · · ·
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	1		3	4.3
Waste Characteristics Toxicity/Persistence		1	18	<sup>′</sup> 18	4.4
Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1	1	8	-
					•
	Table Mark Charles And Annual Control				
	Total Waste Characteristics Score		10	26	
5 Targets		$\triangle$			4.5
Surface Water Use Distance to a Sensit	ive 6 1 (2) 3	2	6	9	
Environment Population Served/E		1		40	
to Water Intake Downstream	12 16 18 20 24 30 32 35 40				
	Total Targets Score		6	55	
, <del></del>	tiply 1 x 4 x 5 51 ply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5 51	30		64,350	
7 Divide line 6 by 64	,350 and multiply by 100	S <sub>sw</sub> =	7.97	1	

The state of the s

FIGURE 7
SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

AP 22/84

			A	ir Ro	ute 1	Nor	k Sł	neet	}					
	Rating Factor			ssign (Circi			)				Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
0	Observed Release		<b>6</b>			4	5				1	0	45	5.1
	Date and Location:													
	Sampling Protocol:									·				
	<del></del>		0. Enter or											
2	Waste Characteristic	CS	0	1 2	3						1		3	5.2
[	Incompatibility		_								_		_	:
	Toxicity Hazardous Waste Quantity		0	1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	3		9 8	· •
			Total Was	te Ch	arac	teri	stic	s Sc	ore	,			20	·
3	Targets Population Within		1 0	9 12	: 15	18					1		30	<b>,</b> 5.3
	4-Mile Radius		} 21 :											
}	Distance to Sensiti Environment	ve	O	1 2	3						2		6	
	Land Use		0	1 2	3						1		3	
		·	Tol	al Ta	rget	s Sc	core						39	
4	Multiply 1 x 2	× 3					,	•				0	35,100	
5	Divide line 4 by	35,100	and multip	ly by	100				<b>_</b>		Sa =	0	1	

FIGURE 9
AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET

	s	<b>s</b> <sup>2</sup>
Groundwater Route Score (Sgw)	65.62	4305.98 <i>4</i> 4
Surface Water Route Score (S <sub>SW</sub> )	7.97	63.52 09
Air Route Score (Sa)	0	0
$S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2$		4369.5053
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2}$		66.1022
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2} / 1.73 = s_M =$		35.21

FIGURE 10 WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING S<sub>M</sub>

		Fire a	nd	Exp	olos	ion	Wo	ork Shee	et	***		
Rating Factor		Assigned Value Multi- (Circle One) plier							Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)	
Containment		1					3		1	1	3	7.1
Waste Characterist Direct Evidence Ignitability Reactivity Incompatibility Hazardous Waste Quantity		0	1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	4	5	6 7	1 1 1 1 8		3 3 3 3 8	<b>7.2</b>
. [	•	Total Was	ite	Cha	rac	teri	stic	s Score		1	20	
Targets Distance to Neare Population Distance to Neare Building Distance to Sensi Environment Land Use Population Within 2-Mile Radius Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius	est	0 0	1 1 1 1 1		3 3	4	5 5 5		1 1 1 1	5 .3 0 3 1	5 3 3 5 5	7.3
		То	tal	Tar	get	s S	core	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		13	24	
4 Multiply 1 x 2	x 3									0	1,440	
5 Divide line 4 by	y 1,440 an	d multipl	y b	y 10	00		<u> </u>		SFE -		, 1	

FIGURE 11
FIRE AND EXPLOSION WORK SHEET

		Direct Contac	t Work Sheet				
	Rating Factor		Assigned Value (Circle One)				Ref. (Section)
0	Observed Incident	0	45	1	45	45	8.1
	If line 1 is 45, proceed If line 1 is 0, proceed						
2	Accessibility	0 1 2 3		1		3	8.2
3	Containment	0 15		1		15	8.3
4	Waste Characteristics Toxicity	0 1 2 3		5	15	15	8.4
<b>5</b>	Targets Population Within a 1-Mile Radius Distance to a Critical Habitat	0 1 2 3	4 5	4	4 . 0	20 12	<b>8.5</b>
		Total Targets	Score		. 4	32	
<b>6</b>	If tine 1 is 45, multiply If tine 1 is 0, multiply	1 x 4 x 5 2 x 3 x 4 x	<b>⊡</b> .		2700	21,600	
7	Divide line 6 by 21,600	and multiply by 100		SDC -	12.	5	

FIGURE 12
DIRECT CONTACT WORK SHEET

NotRAIN

And Williams

## FIT QUALITY ASSURANCE TEAM

## DOCUMENTATION RECORDS FOR HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS: As briefly as possible summarize the information you used to assign the score for each factor (e.g., "Waste quantity = 4,230 drums plus 800 cubic yards of sludges"). The source of information should be provided for each entry and should be a bibliographic-type reference. Include the location of the document.

FACILITY NAME	: Findett Corporation	
LOCATION:	St. Charles, Missouri	·
DATE SCORED:	5/15/84.	· •
PERSON SCORING	G: Diana J. Bailey	•
	E(S) OF INFORMATION (e.g., EPA region, state, FIT,	etc.):
	l files and FIT report as well as Findett reports.	

FACTORS NOT SCORED DUE TO INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION:

COMMENTS OR QUALIFICATIONS: Hazards ranked on past presence of PCB's and 1,1,1-trichlorethylene or 1,1,1-trichloroctane as documented in above mentioned sources.

1) A Plane 4 A 5/22/84

#### GROUND WATER ROUTE

#### 1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected (5 maximum): EPA monitoring well #1 samples taken

August 10, 1983 Sample AQ6008

Sample AQ6009

Water at 39 ft., in horehole

27.5 feet gray clay in boreholes

PCB 30.0 ppm

13.0 ppm PCV and volatile organics

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility: Facility reprocessed PCB containing heat medium and hydraulic fluids and subsequently disposed of waste from reprocessing procedures in the quench pond.

\* \* \*

#### 2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

## Depth to Aquifer of Concern

Name/description of aquifers(s) of concern:

Depth(s) from the ground surface to the highest seasonal level of the saturated zone [water table(s)] of the aquifer of concern:

Depth from the ground surface to the lowest point of waste disposal/ storage:

AP 122/84

N	et	Pr	ec	i	рi	t	а	t	i	חכ

Mean annual or seasonal precipitation (list months for seasonal):

Mean annual lake or seasonal evaporation (list months for seasonal):

Net precipitation (subtract the above figures):

## Permeability of Unsaturated Zone

Soil type in unsaturated zone:

Permeability associated with soil type:

## Physical State

Physical state of substances at time of disposal (or at present time for generated gases):

A P 122/81

#### 3 CONTAINMENT

### Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

Method with highest score:

#### 4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

## Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated:

PCB, HRS users manual

and the natural remaining in yours . I a so it is been feeling in a self of the

Toxicity = 3 persistance = 3

Compound with highest score:

Value = 18

### Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum):

Because of observed release assuming a score of 1.

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:
In February 1981 Findett excavated approximately 3000 cubic feet of soil and sludge from the quench pond, and area under Administrative Order (Docket #VII-80-VII-37) under Federal Clean Water Act, containing PCBs.

\* \* \*

#### 5 TARGETS

### Ground Water Use

Use(s) of aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius of the facility: Elmpoint well field with six (6) shallow city wells (soon to be seven (7)). Information from a topo map, well logs and telephone conversations with City of St. Charles water supply manager 5/16/84.

### Distance to Nearest Well

Location of nearest well drawing from aquifer of concern or occupied building not served by a public water supply:

Approximately 2000 feet; information from 7.5 topo map of Kampville, MO 1974.

Distance to above well or building:

Approximately 2000 feet to nearest well, water supply's office next to well.

From telephone conversation 5/16/84 with City of St. Charles water supply manager.

# Population Served by Ground Water Wells Within a 3-Mile Radius

Identified water-supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius and populations served by each:

City of St. Charles has 15,000 service connections that x 3.8 people (HRS) = 57,000 people using city water. As per call of 5/16/84 with the City of St. Charles water supply manager

Computation of land area irrigated by supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius, and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

None

Total population served by ground water within a 3-mile radius:

Approximately 57,000 people.

#### SURFACE WATER ROUTE

#### 1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected in surface water at the facility or downhill from it (5 maximum):

PCB

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility:
Discharge ditch sampling before and after MO NPDES permit (Aug. 1976)
June 11, 1976
July 23, 1976
November 22, 1976
July-Aug. 1977

Photos showing site under water during flood.

#### 2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

## Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain

Average slope of facility in percent:

Name/description of nearest downslope surface water:

Average slope of terrain between facility and above-cited surface water body in percent:

Is the facility located either totally or partially in surface water?

AP22/84

Is the facility completely surrounded by areas of higher elevation?

1-Year 24-Hour Rainfall in Inches

Distance to Nearest Downslope Surface Water

Physical State of Waste

\* \* \*

3 CONTAINMENT

## Containment

1.

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

Method with highest score:

#### 4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

## Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated PCB from HRS users manual toxicity = 3 persistance = 3

Compound with highest score: Total 18

## Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum):

Same as groundwater

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

\* \* \*

## 5 TARGETS

### Surface Water Use

Use(s) of surface water within 3 miles downstream of the hazardous substance:

Within one mile Cole Creek (NE), which drains into Dardeen Creek which is within two miles of site (NW). Dardeen Creek drains into the Mississippi River 3-3.5 miles (N).

Human activities include: privately-owned duck hunting/wildlife areas, local residents fishing, frog gigging

From 7.5 topo map of Kampville, MO. and letter of 10/6/83 with Mo. Dept. of Conservation.

Is there tidal influence?

## Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if I mile or less:

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species or national wildlife refuge, if 1 mile or less:

## Population Served by Surface Water

Location(s) of water-supply intake(s) within 3 miles (free-flowing bodies) or 1 mile (static water bodies) downstream of the hazardous substance and population served by each intake:

Computation of land area irrigated by above-cited intake(s) and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

Total population served:

Name/description of nearest of above water bodies:

Cole Creek Dardeen Creek Mississippi River

Distance to above-cited intakes, measured in stream miles.

Alaysy

# AIR ROUTE

1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected:
None
Date and location of detection of contaminants
Methods used to detect the contaminants:
Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the site:
* * *
2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS
Reactivity and Incompatibility
Most reactive compound:
Most incompatible pair of compounds:

A MAN AND A STATE OF THE PROPERTY AND A STATE OF THE PARTY AND AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDR

## Toxicity

Most toxic compound:

## Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous waste:

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

\* \* \*

### 3 TARGETS

## Population Within 4-Mile Radius

Circle radius used, give population, and indicate how determined:

0 to 4 mi

r<sup>ibi</sup>i

0 to 1 mi

0 to 1/2 mi

0 to 1/4 mi

## Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species, if 1 mile or less:

## Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 2 miles or less:

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?

## 1. CONTAINMENT

Hazardous substances present:

none

Type of containment, if applicable:

NA

2. WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

# Direct Evidence

Type of instrument and measurements:

noni

# Ignitability

Compound used:

NP

# Reactivity

Most reactive compound:

NA

# Incompatibility

Most incompatible pair of compounds:

NA

## Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility:

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

\* \* \*

3 TARGETS

Distance to Nearest Population

N/A

Distance to Nearest Building

N/F

# Distance to Sensitive Environment

Distance to wetlands:

WA

Distance to critical habitat:

N/A

Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if 1 mile or less: 1

NIF

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less:

NA

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less:

NA

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 1 mile or less:

NA

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 yearss, if 2 miles or less:

NIA

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?

NA

Population Within 2-Mile Radius

NA

Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius

NIA

#### DIRECT CONTACT

#### 1. OBSERVED INCIDENT

Date, location, and pertinent details of incident:

1963 - Findett sik - valve broke off tack spilling

11,1- trichlorosttethylene or trichloroethane and

temperarily blinded M. Tegethott president of

Findett Corp.

### 2. ACCESSIBILITY

Describe type of barrier(s):

force with gak on road leading to sik.

#### 3. CONTAINMENT

Type of containment, if applicable:

NIA

4. WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

### Toxicity

Compounds evaluated: The interpolation of time - Level 2 - Sax

Compound with highest score:

11,1 - trichlow ethylene

# 5. TARGETS

Population within one-mile radius

1-100

Distance to critical habitat (of endangered species)

none within I mile